

Weyl equivalence for rank 2 Nichols algebras of diagonal type *

I. Heckenberger

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Yetter–Drinfel’d modules of diagonal type admit an equivalence relation which preserves dimension and Gel’fand–Kirillov dimension of the corresponding Nichols algebras. This relation is determined explicitly for all rank 2 Yetter–Drinfel’d modules where the Gel’fand–Kirillov dimension is known to be finite.

Key Words: Brandt groupoid, Hopf algebra, pseudo-reflections, Weyl group

MSC2000: 17B37, 16W35

1 Introduction

The method of Andruskiewitsch and Schneider [3] for the classification of pointed Hopf algebras is based in an essential way on the knowledge of Nichols algebras of group type. There exist several methods to determine such Nichols algebras, see for example [14],[2],[12],[4],[13],[8],[10],[11]. Nichols algebras of Cartan type can be described with help of root systems. However

*Supported by the European Community under a Marie Curie Intra-European Fellowship

in the slightly more general setting, where the underlying Yetter–Drinfel’d module is of diagonal type, there exists still no satisfactory classification result.

In [9] and [10] the author classified finite dimensional rank 2 Nichols algebras of diagonal type. Compared to the theory of root systems the set of solutions is much more variegated. This causes difficulties for a generalization in higher rank. However, as for root systems the Weyl group plays an essential role, Yetter–Drinfel’d modules of diagonal type admit the definition of the so called Weyl–Brandt groupoid [11]. The transformations corresponding to the elements of this groupoid are usually not algebra homomorphisms between Nichols algebras, but they preserve their dimension and Gel’fand–Kirillov dimension. Thus one can reduce the classification problem to the question of finding the orbits of all possible (say finite) Weyl–Brandt groupoids. In the present paper the equivalence classes of all known rank 2 Yetter–Drinfel’d modules of diagonal type obtained from the action of the Weyl–Brandt groupoid are determined. Conjecturally all rank 2 Yetter–Drinfel’d modules of diagonal type, such that the corresponding Nichols algebra has finite Gel’fand–Kirillov dimension, are twist equivalent to one in Proposition 2. Therefore in the classification of Nichols algebras of arbitrary rank Proposition 2 can be used to pick a convenient representant of an orbit of the Weyl–Brandt groupoid.

If not stated otherwise the notation in [4] and [9, 10, 11] is followed.

2 The Weyl–Brandt groupoid of a Nichols algebra of diagonal type

Let k be a field of characteristic zero, G an abelian group, and V a Yetter–Drinfel’d module over kG of rank n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. More precisely, let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a basis of V , $\{g_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ a subset of G , and $q_{ij} \in k \setminus \{0\}$

for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, such that

$$\delta(x_i) = g_i \otimes x_i, \quad g_i \cdot x_j = q_{ij} x_j$$

for $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then V is a braided vector space [1, Def. 5.4] with braiding $\sigma \in \text{End}(V \otimes V)$, where

$$\sigma(x_i \otimes x_j) = q_{ij} x_j \otimes x_i$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The Nichols algebra $\mathcal{B}(V)$ is of diagonal type [1, Def. 5.8] and has a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -grading defined by

$$\deg x_i := \mathbf{e}_i \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

where $E_0 := \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ is the standard basis of the $\mathbb{Z}$ -module $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow k \setminus \{0\}$ denote the bicharacter defined by

$$\chi(\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_j) := q_{ij},$$

where $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Kharchenko proved [12, Theorem 2] that the algebra $\mathcal{B}(V)$ has a (restricted) Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt basis consisting of iterated skew-commutators of the elements x_i of V . Moreover, one can assume that this basis has the additional property that

- (P) the height of a Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt generator of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -degree d is finite if and only if $2 \leq \text{ord } \chi(d, d) < \infty$ (ord means order with respect to multiplication), and in this case it coincides with $\text{ord } \chi(d, d)$.

Indeed, if $\chi(d, d)$ is a primitive m^{th} root of unity for some $m \geq 2$, but the height of the element of degree d is infinite, then one can add the m^{th} power of this element to the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt basis. Its height is automatically infinite since $\chi(md, md) = \chi(d, d)^{m^2} = 1$.

Let $\Delta^+(\mathcal{B}(V))$ denote the set of degrees of the (restricted) Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt generators counted with multiplicities. Note that this definition is independent of the choice of a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt basis satisfying property (P).

Assume that $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $j \neq i$ the numbers

$$m_{ij} := \min\{m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid (m+1)_{q_{ii}}(q_{ii}^m q_{ij} q_{ji} - 1) = 0\} \quad (1)$$

are well-defined. In this case one can introduce a $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear mapping $s_i : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ as follows:

$$s_i(\mathbf{e}_j) := \begin{cases} -\mathbf{e}_i & \text{if } j = i, \\ \mathbf{e}_j + m_{ij}\mathbf{e}_i & \text{if } j \neq i. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

The map s_i is a pseudo-reflection [6, Ch. 5, §2], that is $\text{rk}(s_i - \text{id}) = 1$, and it satisfies the equation $s_i^2 = \text{id}$.

Definition 1. Let E be a subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. We say that a Yetter–Drinfel’d module V' has degree E with respect to V if there exists a $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded Yetter–Drinfel’d submodule V'' of $\mathcal{B}(V) \otimes \mathcal{B}(V^*)$ isomorphic to V' and a basis $\{v''_e \mid e \in E\}$ of V'' indexed by E such that $\deg v''_e = e$ for all $e \in E$. In this case a subset $\{v'_e \mid e \in E\}$ of V' is said to be a *basis with respect to V and E* if the linear map from V'' to V' , defined by $v''_e \mapsto v'_e$ for all $e \in E$, is an isomorphism of Yetter–Drinfel’d modules.

Note that E is not uniquely determined by V' but for given E and V there is up to isomorphism a unique Yetter–Drinfel’d module which has degree E with respect to V .

Let E be an ordered basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and let V' be a Yetter–Drinfel’d module of degree E with respect to V . Choose $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and an ordered basis B' of V' with respect to V and E . Define $s_{i,E}$ (if it exists) to be the map $s_i \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ corresponding to $\mathcal{B}(V')$ with respect to the basis B' of V' . Note that if $E = E_0$ then $s_{i,E} = s_i$ as defined above. Further, by [11, Proposition 1] one also has $s_{i,s_i(E_0)} = s_i$.

In [11] the Weyl–Brandt groupoid $W(V)$ associated to $\mathcal{B}(V)$ was defined as follows.

Definition 2. Let $E_0 := (\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n)$ denote the ordered standard basis of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} W(V) &:= \{(s, E) \mid s \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^n), E \text{ is an ordered basis of } \mathbb{Z}^n, \\ &\quad \text{there exist } m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0, m_1 \leq m_2, i_1, \dots, i_{m_2} \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, \\ &\quad \text{and for } 1 \leq m \leq m_2 \text{ ordered bases } E_m \text{ of } \mathbb{Z}^n, \text{ such that} \\ &\quad s_{i_{m+1}, E_m} \text{ is well defined and } s_{i_{m+1}, E_m}(E_m) = E_{m+1} \text{ for all } m < m_2; \\ &\quad s = s_{i_{m_2}, E_{m_2-1}} \cdots s_{i_{m_1+1}, E_{m_1}}, E = E_{m_1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The set $W(V)$ admits a partial binary operation $\circ$ given by

$$(s, E) \circ (t, F) := (st, F)$$

whenever $(s, E), (t, F) \in W(V)$ and $t(F) = E$. Then $(W(V), \circ)$ is a Brandt groupoid, see [7, Sect. 3.3] or [11, Sect. 5], and is called the *Weyl–Brandt groupoid of $\mathcal{B}(V)$* .

There is a natural action of the Weyl–Brandt groupoid $W(V)$ on the set

$$\begin{aligned} E(V) &:= \{E \mid E \text{ is an ordered basis of } \mathbb{Z}^n, \\ &\quad (s, E) \in W(V) \text{ for some } s \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}^n)\} \end{aligned}$$

given by the formula

$$(s, E)(F) := \begin{cases} s(E) & \text{if } E = F, \\ \text{not defined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $(s, E) \in W(V)$ and $F \in E(V)$.

Let V' be a Yetter–Drinfel'd module of diagonal type which has degree $s_i(E_0)$ with respect to V , and let $\{x'_1, \dots, x'_n\}$ be a basis of V' with respect to V and $s_i(E_0)$. Then the structure constants q'_{jl} of V' , where $j, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, depend on the numbers q_{jl} as follows:

$$q'_{jl} = q_{ii}^{m_{ij}m_{il}} q_{il}^{m_{ij}} q_{ji}^{m_{il}} q_{jl}$$

for all $j, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where $m_{ii} = -2$. For $j \neq i$ set

$$p_{ij} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q_{ii}^{m_{ij}} q_{ij} q_{ji} = 1, \\ q_{ii}^{-1} q_{ij} q_{ji} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then from the Equations (1) one obtains that

$$q'_{ii} = q_{ii}, \quad q'_{jj} = p_{ij}^{m_{ij}} q_{jj}, \quad q'_{ij} q'_{ji} = p_{ij}^{-2} q_{ij} q_{ji}, \quad q'_{jl} q'_{lj} = p_{ij}^{m_{il}} p_{il}^{m_{ij}} q_{jl} q_{lj} \quad (3)$$

for all $j, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$. These formulas allow the following definitions.

Definition 3. Let V', V'' be two rank n braided vector spaces of diagonal type. If with respect to certain bases their structure constants q'_{jl} and q''_{jl} , where $j, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, satisfy the equations

$$q'_{jj} = q''_{jj}, \quad q'_{jl} q'_{lj} = q''_{jl} q''_{lj} \quad (4)$$

for all $j, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ then $W(V') = W(V'')$. One says that V' and V'' are *twist equivalent* [5, Definition 3.8]. Two rank n Yetter–Drinfel'd modules V' and V'' of diagonal type are called *Weyl equivalent*, if there exists a Yetter–Drinfel'd module V of diagonal type which is twist equivalent to V' as a braided vector space, and an ordered basis E'' of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $E'' \in E(V'')$ and V has degree E'' with respect to V'' . Both twist equivalence and Weyl equivalence are equivalence relations.

Remarks. 1. The above definition doesn't depend on the ordering of E'' since the property of V having degree E'' with respect to V'' doesn't depend on it.

2. Weyl equivalence does not use the Yetter–Drinfel'd structure, it is an equivalence between braided vector spaces. In particular, Yetter–Drinfel'd modules, which are isomorphic as braided vector spaces, are always Weyl equivalent.

3. In the theory of simple super Lie algebras it is a basic fact that (in contrast to semi-simple Lie algebras) for different choices of sets of simple roots one can obtain different Dynkin diagrams. Weyl equivalence defined

above reflects the aim to consider objects which are independent of the choice of “sets of simple roots”. ■

Proposition 1. *Let V_1, V_2 be Weyl equivalent Yetter–Drinfel’d modules of diagonal type such that both $\Delta^+(\mathcal{B}(V_1))$ and $\Delta^+(\mathcal{B}(V_2))$ are finite. Then $\mathcal{B}(V_1)$ and $\mathcal{B}(V_2)$ have the same dimension and the same Gel’fand–Kirillov dimension.*

Proof. If V_1 and V are twist equivalent Yetter–Drinfel’d modules then by [5, Proposition 3.9] the corresponding Nichols algebras are isomorphic as $\mathbb{Z}^n$ -graded vector spaces. In particular, $\Delta^+(\mathcal{B}(V_1)) = \Delta^+(\mathcal{B}(V))$. Further, if V has degree E with respect to V_2 for some ordered basis E of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ then by the first remark after [11, Proposition 1] one has $\Delta(\mathcal{B}(V)) = \Delta(\mathcal{B}(V_2))$ up to a change of basis in $\mathbb{Z}^n$, and the heights of the restricted Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt generators coincide. This gives the claim. ■

3 Nichols algebras of rank 2

In [9] finite dimensional rank 2 Nichols algebras were described in terms of generators and relations using full binary trees. In some of these examples one can drop the condition on the order of some of the structure constants, without changing $\Delta^+(\mathcal{B}(V))$. The (infinite dimensional) examples obtained this way were already known in the literature.

Note that twist equivalent Yetter–Drinfel’d modules of diagonal type are Weyl equivalent. Therefore in order to analyze Weyl equivalence of rank 2 Yetter–Drinfel’d modules of diagonal type one can assume that $q_{12} = 1$. Let R_m denote the set of primitive m^{th} roots of unity.

Proposition 2. *Let V and V' be Yetter–Drinfel’d modules of diagonal type such that with respect to certain bases their structure constants $(q_{ij})_{i,j \in \{1,2\}}$ and $(q'_{ij})_{i,j \in \{1,2\}}$ are listed in Figure 1. Then V and V' are Weyl equivalent if and only if these constants appear in the same row with the same fixed parameters.*

conditions for q_{ij}	free parameters	fixed parameters	tree
$q_{21} = 1$		$q_{11}, q_{22} \in k \setminus \{0\}$	$T1$
$q_{21} = q_{11}^{-1}, q_{22} = q_{11}$		$q_{11} \in k \setminus \{0, 1\}$	$T2$
$q_{11} = q, q_{21} = q^{-1}, q_{22} = -1$, or $q_{11} = -1, q_{21} = q, q_{22} = -1$		$q \in k \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}$	$T2$ $T2$
$q_{11} = q, q_{21} = q^{-2}, q_{22} = q^2$		$q \in k \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}$	$T3$
$q_{11} = q, q_{21} = q^{-2}, q_{22} = -1$	$q \in \{q_0, -q_0^{-1}\}$	$q_0 \in k \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}$	$T3$
$q_{11} = \zeta, q_{21} = q^{-1}, q_{22} = q$	$q \in \{q_0, \zeta q_0^{-1}\}$	$\zeta \in R_3$ $q_0 \in k \setminus \{0, 1, \zeta, \zeta^2\}$	$T3$
$q_{11} = \zeta, q_{21} = -\zeta, q_{22} = -1$	$\zeta \in R_3$		$T3$
$q_{11} = \zeta^4, q_{21} = \zeta^{-3}, q_{22} = -\zeta^2$, or $q_{11} = \zeta^4, q_{21} = \zeta^{-1}, q_{22} = -1$, or $q_{11} = \zeta^{-3}, q_{21} = \zeta, q_{22} = -1$	$\zeta \in \{\zeta_0, -\zeta_0^{-1}\}$	$\zeta_0 \in R_{12}$	$T4$ $T5$ $T7$
$q_{11} = -\zeta^2, q_{21} = \zeta, q_{22} = -\zeta^2$, or $q_{11} = -\zeta^2, q_{21} = \zeta^3, q_{22} = -1$, or $q_{11} = -\zeta^{-1}, q_{21} = \zeta^{-3}, q_{22} = -1$		$\zeta \in R_{12}$	$T4$ $T5$ $T7$
$q_{11} = \zeta, q_{21} = \zeta^{-2}, q_{22} = -\zeta^3$, or $q_{11} = -\zeta^2, q_{21} = -\zeta, q_{22} = -1$, or $q_{11} = -\zeta^3, q_{21} = -\zeta^{-1}, q_{22} = -1$		$\zeta \in R_{18}$	$T6$ $T14$ $T9$
$q_{11} = q, q_{21} = q^{-3}, q_{22} = q^3$		$q \in k \setminus \{-1, 0, 1\}$ $q \notin R_3$	$T8$
$q_{11} = \zeta^2, q_{21} = \zeta, q_{22} = \zeta^{-1}$, or $q_{11} = \zeta^2, q_{21} = -\zeta^{-1}, q_{22} = -1$, or $q_{11} = \zeta, q_{21} = -\zeta, q_{22} = -1$		$\zeta \in R_8$	$T8$ $T8$ $T8$
$q_{11} = \zeta^6, q_{21} = -\zeta^{-1}, q_{22} = \zeta^8$, or $q_{11} = \zeta^6, q_{21} = \zeta, q_{22} = \zeta^{-1}$, or $q_{11} = \zeta^8, q_{21} = \zeta^5, q_{22} = -1$, or $q_{11} = \zeta, q_{21} = \zeta^{-5}, q_{22} = -1$		$\zeta \in R_{24}$	$T10$ $T13$ $T17$ $T21$
$q_{11} = \zeta, q_{21} = \zeta^{-3}, q_{22} = -1$, or $q_{11} = -\zeta^{-2}, q_{21} = \zeta^3, q_{22} = -1$	$\zeta \in \{\zeta_0, \zeta_0^{11}\}$	$\zeta_0 \in R_5 \cup R_{20}$	$T11$ $T16$
$q_{11} = \zeta, q_{21} = \zeta^{-3}, q_{22} = -\zeta^5$, or $q_{11} = -\zeta^3, q_{21} = -\zeta^4, q_{22} = -\zeta^{-4}$, or $q_{11} = -\zeta^5, q_{21} = -\zeta^{-2}, q_{22} = -1$, or $q_{11} = -\zeta^3, q_{21} = -\zeta^2, q_{22} = -1$		$\zeta \in R_{30}$	$T12$ $T15$ $T18$ $T20$
$q_{11} = \zeta, q_{21} = \zeta^{-3}, q_{22} = -1$, or $q_{11} = -\zeta^{-2}, q_{21} = \zeta^3, q_{22} = -1$		$\zeta \in R_{14}$	$T19$ $T22$

Figure 1: Weyl equivalence for rank 2 Yetter–Drinfel’d modules

Proof. One has to show that if V is a Yetter–Drinfel’d module such that its structure constants are listed in Figure 1, then for $i \in \{1, 2\}$ the Yetter–Drinfel’d module V' having degree $s_i(E_0)$ with respect to V is twist equivalent to a Yetter–Drinfel’d module in the same row of Figure 1. In this case let’s say that V' is connected with V via s_i . Note that if V' is connected with V via s_i then also V is connected with V' via s_i . Further, it has to be shown that for any two Yetter–Drinfel’d modules V, V' in the same row there is a set $V = V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m = V'$ of Yetter–Drinfel’d modules such that $m \geq 2$ and V_i is connected with V_{i+1} via some s_{j_i} , where $j_i \in \{1, 2\}$, whenever $1 \leq i < m$. The relation between the structure constants of V' and V is given by Equations (3). The calculations are straightforward. Note also that sometimes one has to perform an additional base change $\{x_1, x_2\} \rightarrow \{x_2, x_1\}$ of V' .

As an example consider the second last row of Figure 1. Let V_i , where $1 \leq i \leq 4$, denote the Yetter–Drinfel’d module corresponding to the i^{th} collection of structure constants. Then V_1 is connected with V_1 via s_1 , V_1 is connected with V_3 via s_2 (perform base change $\{x_1, x_2\} \rightarrow \{x_2, x_1\}$ for V_3), V_3 is connected with V_4 via s_2 , V_4 is connected with V_2 via s_1 , and V_2 is connected with V_2 via s_2 . ■

References

- [1] Andruskiewitsch, N.: About finite dimensional Hopf algebras. In *Quantum symmetries in theoretical physics and mathematics (Bariloche, 2000)*, vol. 294 ser. *Contemp. Math.*, pp. 1–57. Amer. Math. Soc. (2002)
- [2] Andruskiewitsch, N. and Graña, M.: Braided Hopf algebras over non-abelian finite groups. *Bol. Acad. Nac. Cienc. (Córdoba)*. **63**, 45–78 (1999)
- [3] Andruskiewitsch, N. and Schneider, H.-J.: Lifting of quantum linear spaces and pointed Hopf algebras of order p^3 . *J. Algebra*. **209**, 658–691 (1998)

- [4] Andruskiewitsch, N. and Schneider, H.-J.: Finite quantum groups and Cartan matrices. *Adv. Math.* **154**, 1–45 (2000)
- [5] Andruskiewitsch, N. and Schneider, H.-J.: Pointed Hopf algebras. In *New Directions in Hopf Algebras*, vol. 43 ser. *MSRI Publications*. Cambridge University Press (2002)
- [6] Bourbaki, N.: *Groupes et algèbres de Lie, Ch. 4, 5 et 6*. Éléments de mathématique. Hermann, Paris (1968)
- [7] Clifford, A.H. and Preston, G.B.: *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, vol. 7 ser. *Mathematical surveys*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island (1961)
- [8] Graña, M.: A freeness theorem for Nichols algebras. *J. Algebra.* **231**(1), 235–257 (2000)
- [9] Heckenberger, I.: Finite dimensional rank 2 Nichols algebras of diagonal type. I: Examples. Preprint math.QA/0402350
- [10] Heckenberger, I.: Finite dimensional rank 2 Nichols algebras of diagonal type. II: Classification. Preprint math.QA/0404008
- [11] Heckenberger, I.: The Weyl–Brandt groupoid of a Nichols algebra of diagonal type. Preprint math.QA/0411477
- [12] Kharchenko, V.: A quantum analog of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem. *Algebra and Logic.* **38**(4), 259–276 (1999)
- [13] Milinski, A. and Schneider, H.-J.: Pointed indecomposable Hopf algebras over Coxeter groups. In *New trends in Hopf algebra theory (La Falda, 1999)*, vol. 267 ser. *Contemp. Math.*, pp. 215–236, Providence, RI (2000). Amer. Math. Soc.
- [14] Rosso, M.: Quantum groups and quantum shuffles. *Invent. Math.* **133**, 399–416 (1998)